

A COMBINATION OF MODEL-FREE AND MODEL-BASED FUZZY CONTROL FOR ACROBOTS

XUZHILAI*, JIN-HUA SHE**, YASUHIRO OHYAMA** and ZIXING CAI*

* Department of Automatic Control Engineering,
Central South University of Technology
Changsha, Hunan, 410083, China

** Mechatronics Department,
Tokyo University of Technology
Hachioji, Tokyo, 192-8580, Japan

Abstract: This paper describes a fuzzy control strategy for the control of an acrobot. The strategy combines model-free and model-based fuzzy control. The model-free fuzzy controller designed for the upswing ensures that the energy of the acrobot increases with each swing. The model-based fuzzy controller, which is based on a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model for balancing, employs the concept of parallel distributed compensation. The stability of the fuzzy control system for balance control is guaranteed by a common symmetric positive matrix, which satisfies linear matrix inequalities.

Key words: acrobot, underactuated mechanical systems, fuzzy control, Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model, linear matrix inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Underactuated mechanical systems possess fewer actuators than the degrees of freedom ([8]). This kind of system can perform complex tasks with a small number of actuators and has the advantages of being light, cheap, energy-efficient, and highly reliable. For these reasons, underactuated mechanical systems have been receiving a great deal of attention recently. On the other hand, because of the complexity of their nonlinear dynamics and their holonomic/nonholonomic behavior, control of this kind of system is very difficult (e.g., [4] and [6])

An acrobot is a good example of an underactuated mechanical system. The acrobot considered in this paper is a two-link manipulator operating in a vertical plane. It consists of one joint each at the shoulder and elbow with a single actuator at the elbow. The first link, which is

attached to the passive joint, can rotate freely. The second link is attached to the actuated joint, where a motor is mounted to provide a control torque. The control objective in this study is to swing it up from the stable downward equilibrium position to the unstable straight-up equilibrium position and balance it there.

Spong ([10]-[12]) has described a partial feedback linearization method to swing an acrobot up and has used the techniques of pseudolinearization/LQR (linear quadratic regulator) to balance it. The basic swing-up strategy is to choose an external control to swing the second link so that the amplitude of the swing of the first link increases with each swing. However, the upswing control law was chosen based on the condition under which the energy of a *single* link increases. So, theoretically, it did not guarantee that the energy of the acrobot increased with each swing. In addition, as pointed out in [11], the LQR balancing control law makes the attractive area very small.

Lee and Smith ([3]) have described a fuzzy control method that combines genetic algorithms, dynamic switching fuzzy systems and meta-rule techniques for the automatic design and tuning of an acrobot fuzzy control system. The genetic algorithms utilize PD control results. They showed that the performance was much better than that obtainable with PD control. However, this method is very complicated.

This paper proposes a fuzzy control strategy that employs a model-free fuzzy controller to swing the acrobot up and a model-based fuzzy controller to balance it. In the swing-up process, the control law for the torque is derived directly from the energy of the acrobot, and the model-free fuzzy controller regulates the amplitude of the control torque according to the energy. The key point is to

choose a control torque that guarantees that the energy of the acrobot increases with each swing. This is quite different from the method proposed in [10]-[12]. The main feature of this strategy is that the amplitude of the control torque decreases as the energy increases. Hence, the acrobot moves into a neighborhood of the unstable straight-up equilibrium position very smoothly. In the balancing process, a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model is constructed to approximate the dynamics of the acrobot. The model-based fuzzy controller, which uses the Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model, employs the concept of parallel distributed compensation. Unlike the method in [3], the design is simple, and the stability of the fuzzy control system for balance control is guaranteed by a common symmetric positive matrix, which satisfies linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) and is found by a convex optimization technique. Since the Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model describes the acrobot with a satisfactory approximated precision over a large region, the model-based fuzzy balancing control law makes the attractive area larger than it is with LQR.

2. DYNAMICS OF THE ACROBOT

Consider the acrobot shown in Figure 1. Its dynamic equations are

$$m_{11}(q)\ddot{q}_1 + m_{12}(q)\ddot{q}_2 + c_1(q, \dot{q}) + g_1(q) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$m_{21}(q)\ddot{q}_1 + m_{22}(q)\ddot{q}_2 + c_2(q, \dot{q}) + g_2(q) = \tau, \quad (1b)$$

where

$$q = [q_1 \quad q_2]^T,$$

$$m_{11}(q) = m_1 L_{g1}^2 + I_1 + m_2 L_{g2}^2 + I_2 + m_2 L_1^2 + 2m_2 L_1 L_{g2} \cos q_2,$$

$$m_{22}(q) = m_2 L_{g2}^2 + I_2,$$

$$m_{12}(q) = m_{21}(q) = m_2 L_{g2}^2 + I_2 + m_2 L_1 L_{g2} \cos q_2,$$

$$c_1(q, \dot{q}) = -m_2 L_1 L_{g2} \dot{q}_2 (2\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) \sin q_2,$$

$$c_2(q, \dot{q}) = m_2 L_1 L_{g2} \dot{q}_1^2 \sin q_2,$$

$$g_1(q) = -(m_1 L_{g1} + m_2 L_1)g \sin q_1 - m_2 L_{g2} g \sin(q_1 + q_2),$$

$$g_2(q) = -m_2 L_{g2} g \sin(q_1 + q_2).$$

For the link i ($i=1,2$), the parameters q_i , m_i , L_i , L_{gi} and I_i are the angular velocity, the mass, the link length, the center of mass, and the moment of inertia, respectively.

The inertia matrix $M(q)$ is

$$M(q) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}(q) & m_{12}(q) \\ m_{21}(q) & m_{22}(q) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$




Figure 1. Model of the acrobot.

which is symmetric and positive definite.

The acrobot has the following characteristics:

- 1) It is second-order nonholonomic.
- 2) It cannot be exactly linearized in the time domain.

Remark: Characteristic 1 is direct result of Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 in [8]. Characteristic 2 can easily be derived from Lemma 2.5 in [1].

In this paper, the motion space of the acrobot is divided into two subspaces ([9]): one is the attractive area in the neighborhood of the unstable straight-up equilibrium position, and the remainder is the swing-up area. Two small positive numbers, λ_1 and λ_2 , are used to define the two subspaces.

$$\text{Swing-up area: } |q_1| > \lambda_1 \text{ or } |q_1 + q_2| > \lambda_2, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Attractive area: } |q_1| \leq \lambda_1 \text{ and } |q_1 + q_2| \leq \lambda_2. \quad (4)$$

3. CONTROL IN THE SWING-UP AREA

In the swing-up area, the control torque is derived directly from the energy of the acrobot. A model-free fuzzy controller is designed to regulate its amplitude in order to guarantee smooth movement from the swing-up area into the attractive area.

3.1. Determining the control torque

The energy of the acrobot is given by

$$E(q, \dot{q}) = T(q, \dot{q}) + V(q), \quad (5)$$

where $T(q, \dot{q})$ is the kinetic energy and $V(q)$ is the potential energy, both of which are expressed in generalized coordinates. They are defined as follows:

$$T(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \dot{q}, \quad (6)$$

$$V(q) = \sum_{i=1}^2 V_i(q) = \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i g h_i(q), \quad (7)$$

where $V_i(q)$ and $h_i(q)$ are the potential energy and the height of the center of mass of the i th link, respectively.

During an upswing, the energy of the acrobot should increase continuously until it reaches the amount that the acrobot has at the unstable straight-up equilibrium position. This means that the derivation of the energy should satisfy the following condition in the swing-up area.

$$\dot{E}(q, \dot{q}) \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Differentiating (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}(q, \dot{q}) = & \left[\frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}_1} \quad \frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}_2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \left[\frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial q_1} \quad \frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial q_2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \left[\frac{\partial V(q)}{\partial q_1} \quad \frac{\partial V(q)}{\partial q_2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From (6), we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}_1} \quad \frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}_2} \right] = [\dot{q}_1 \quad \dot{q}_2] M(q), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial q_1} \quad \frac{\partial T(q, \dot{q})}{\partial q_2} \right] = [c_1(q, \dot{q}) \quad c_2(q, \dot{q})]. \quad (11)$$

The following equation is derived from (7)

$$\left[\frac{\partial V(q)}{\partial q_1} \quad \frac{\partial V(q)}{\partial q_2} \right] = [g_1(q) \quad g_2(q)]. \quad (12)$$

Rewriting the dynamic equation (1) gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{q}_1 \\ \ddot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix} = M^{-1}(q) \begin{bmatrix} -c_1(q, \dot{q}) - g_1(q) \\ \tau - c_2(q, \dot{q}) - g_2(q) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Substituting (10), (11), (12), and (13) into (9) yields

$$\dot{E}(q, \dot{q}) = \dot{q}_2 \tau. \quad (14)$$

So, the control torque for swing-up is chosen to be

$$\tau = \text{sign}(\dot{q}_2) \nu, \quad \nu \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

to satisfy (8).

3.2. Design of model-free fuzzy controller

The control variable ν in (15) can be chosen arbitrarily in the admissible range of the control torque as long as it is positive. Clearly, the amplitude of the control torque should be chosen so that it decreases as the energy increases. That makes the acrobot enter the attractive area

Table 1. Fuzzy control rules to swing the acrobot up.

If	Then
$E(q, \dot{q})$ is small	ν is large
$E(q, \dot{q})$ is medium	ν is medium
$E(q, \dot{q})$ is large	ν is small

smoothly when the control law changes. To implement this strategy, a model-free fuzzy controller is designed to determine the control variable ν .

A basic fuzzy control method ([2] and [5]) is used to design the model-free fuzzy controller. The fuzzy relation between the energy $E(q, \dot{q})$ and the control variable ν is the set of simple fuzzy rules listed in Table 1.

The input of the model-free fuzzy controller is the energy $E(q, \dot{q})$ and the output is the control variable ν . The membership functions (mfs) for input/output linguistic variable are chosen to have the triangular shapes shown in Figure 2.

The model-free fuzzy controller is employed until the acrobot enters the attractive area. Then the fuzzy controller based on a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model balances it.

4. CONTROL IN THE ATTRACTIVE AREA

The attractive area is defined as $q_1 \in [-\lambda_1, \lambda_1]$ and $q_1 + q_2 \in [-\lambda_2, \lambda_2]$. The dynamics of the acrobot in this area is nonlinear, and a linear approximate model around the unstable straight-up equilibrium position is usually used for control. However, linearizing it by using only the coordinate of the unstable straight-up equilibrium position makes either the attractive area very small, or the control torque for the acrobot entering the area very large. For example, if λ_1 and λ_2 are both $\pi/4$, then q_2 is in the range $[-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2] = [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Clearly, $\cos(q_2)$, which is involved in the dynamics, cannot be well approximated over such a wide range. To achieve better control, the model in this area needs to be described more precisely.




Figure 2. Membership functions of the input/output linguistic variable.

Takagi and Sugeno ([13]) have introduced a model-based analytical method into fuzzy control (Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model). The main feature of a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model is that the local dynamics of each fuzzy implication is described by a linear model. The overall fuzzy model of the system is a fuzzy blend of the linear models. The Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy modeling method is a multiple model approach that can handle uncertain and time-varying situations. To design a model-based fuzzy controller, a set of fuzzy rules is first used to derive suitable local linear state space models. Then, a set of local controllers is designed based on the models using the parallel distributed compensation method. Finally, the fuzzy controller is obtained by the fuzzy blending of the local controllers. This method gives us a more suitable way to describe the nonlinearity of the acrobot in the attractive area. The dynamics of the acrobot in this area is captured by a set of fuzzy implications that characterize local relations. When the fuzzy controller obtained by the fuzzy blending of the local controllers is used to balance the acrobot, the stability of the fuzzy control system for balance control is guaranteed if a common symmetric positive definite matrix can be found for all local linear models.

4.1. Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model

To reduce the design effort and complexity, as few rules as possible are chosen. The Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy system in the attractive area is shown in Table 2, where $z = |q_1|/|q_2|$, $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4]^T = [q_1 \ q_2 \ \dot{q}_1 \ \dot{q}_2]^T$, c_1 and c_2 are constants, and $c_1 > c_2 > 0$.

It is clear that two linear models are used to describe the acrobot. In Rule 1, the acrobot is linearized with the coordinate $x_\delta = [0 \ \delta \ 0 \ 0]^T$; and in Rule 2, it is linearized with the coordinate $x_\theta = [0 \ \theta \ 0 \ 0]^T$ (where $\theta > \delta \geq 0$).

In the attractive area, $q_1 \in [-\lambda_1, \lambda_1]$ and $q_1 + q_2 \in [-\lambda_2, \lambda_2]$. Since λ_1 and λ_2 are very small, $\sin q_1$ and $\sin(q_1 + q_2)$ can be approximated by q_1 and $q_1 + q_2$, respectively. According to equation (1), the linear approximate model for the coordinate $x_\phi = [0 \ \phi \ 0 \ 0]^T$ (where $x_\phi = x_\delta$ or x_θ) is as follows:

Table 2. Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy system for the acrobot.

Rule	If	Then
1	z is larger than c_1	$\dot{x} = A_1x + B_1\tau$
2	z is smaller than c_2	$\dot{x} = A_2x + B_2\tau$

$$\dot{x} = A(\phi)x + B(\phi)\tau, \quad (16a)$$

where

$$A(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_{31}(\phi) & a_{32}(\phi) & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41}(\phi) & a_{42}(\phi) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; B(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ b_3(\phi) \\ b_4(\phi) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16b)$$

$$q_\phi = [0 \ \phi]^T,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{41}(\phi) - a_{42}(\phi) & b_4(\phi) \\ -a_{31}(\phi) & a_{32}(\phi) - b_3(\phi) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{M(q_\phi)}{\det M(q_\phi)} \begin{bmatrix} -\beta & \beta & 1 \\ \alpha + \beta & -\beta & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\alpha = -(m_1L_{g1} + m_2L_1)g, \quad \beta = -m_2L_{g2}g.$$

Substituting the coordinates x_δ and x_θ into (16b) yields the following two local linear models $(A_1, B_1) = (A(\delta), B(\delta))$ and $(A_2, B_2) = (A(\theta), B(\theta))$, respectively. So, the dynamics of the approximate fuzzy model is represented by

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(z)(A_jx + B_j\tau) / \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(z), \quad (17)$$

where $\mu_1(z)$ and $\mu_2(z)$ are the membership functions for Rules 1 and 2, respectively. They are defined as

$$\mu_1(z) = \begin{cases} 0; & 0 \leq z \leq c_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{c_1 - c_2} (z - \frac{c_1 + c_2}{2}); & c_2 < z \leq c_1 \\ 1; & z > c_1 \end{cases} \quad (18a)$$

$$\mu_2(z) = 1 - \mu_1(z), \quad (18b)$$

and shown in Figure 3.

4.2. Design of fuzzy controller

The concept of parallel distributed compensation ([14] and [16]) is utilized to design local controllers. The basic idea




Figure 3. Membership functions $\mu_1(z)$ and $\mu_2(z)$.

Table 3. The local linear controllers.

Rule	If	Then
1	z is larger than c_1	$\tau = -F_1x$
2	z is smaller than c_2	$\tau = -F_2x$

is to design a corresponding controller for each local linear model. This paper employs the pole assignment approach to design the local linear controllers. The full state is assumed to be available and the design results are given in Table 3. Finally, the resulting overall fuzzy controller obtained by the fuzzy blending of the individual linear controllers is

$$\tau = -\sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(z) F_j x / \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(z). \quad (19)$$

This is used to balance the acrobot. Controller (19) is nonlinear in general. It is clear that the parallel distributed compensation method employs two controllers with automatic switching via fuzzy rules.

Substituting (19) into (17) yields the following fuzzy control system:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \mu_j(z) \mu_k(z) (A_j - B_j F_k) x}{\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \mu_j(z) \mu_k(z)}. \quad (20)$$

To guarantee stability, the results in [14] and [16] were applied to the fuzzy control system (20), and the following sufficient condition for stability was obtained.

Theorem 1: The fuzzy control system (20) is asymptotically stable at the unstable straight-up equilibrium position if there exists a common symmetric positive definite matrix P such that the following LMIs hold:

$$(A_j - B_j F_k)^T P + P(A_j - B_j F_k) < 0, \quad j, k = 1, 2 \quad (21)$$

It is known that finding the matrix P is a convex feasibility problem. Great efforts have been devoted to solving this problem. A trial-and-error procedure ([15]) has been tried. Now, this problem can be solved efficiently by using the interior-point method ([7]).

Table 4. Parameters of the acrobot for simulation.

	m_i [kg]	L_i [m]	L_{gi} [m]	I_i [Nm ²]
Link 1	1	1	0.5	0.083
Link 2	1	2	1	0.33

5. SIMULATION

The parameters of the acrobot are given in Table 4 ([11]). The parameters λ_1 and λ_2 are chosen to be

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \pi / 4 \quad (22)$$

to divide the motion space. The parameters c_1 , c_2 , δ and θ are chosen to be

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 4 & c_2 = 0.1 \\ \delta = 0 & \theta = \pi / 4. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

For the attractive area, substituting δ , θ and the parameters in Table 4 into (16b) yields two local linear models: (A_1, B_1) and (A_2, B_2) . Two local controllers are designed by applying the method of parallel distributed compensation to (A_1, B_1) and (A_2, B_2) . The local feedback gains F_1 and F_2 are determined by selecting $(-2, -2.2, -2.4, -2.6)$ as the eigenvalues of the local linear subsystems. The overall parallel distributed compensation controller is

$$\tau = -\mu_1(z) F_1 x - \mu_2(z) F_2 x. \quad (24)$$

A symmetric positive definite matrix P is obtained by using the LMI algorithm. So, the fuzzy control system is asymptotically stable for fuzzy control law (24).

Let the energy of the acrobot in the horizontal position be zero, then the energy at the unstable straight-up equilibrium position is 24.5 J, and the energy range is $[-24.5 \text{ J}, 24.5 \text{ J}]$. Assume that the maximum torque is 3 Nm, then the range of the control torque is $[-3 \text{ Nm}, 3 \text{ Nm}]$.

Figure 4 shows simulation results for the initial condition $x(0) = [\pi \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$. When $0 \leq t < 7.63 \text{ s}$, the model-free fuzzy controller is used for swing-up control. The energy keeps increasing and the amplitude of the control torque keeps decreasing during this period. When $t \geq 7.63 \text{ s}$, the model-based fuzzy controller is used for balancing control. The simulation results show that the response is very soft when the control law changes, and the control torque in attractive area is very small; the state converges smoothly to the unstable straight-up equilibrium position.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A control strategy combining model-free and model-based fuzzy control has been developed for controlling an acrobot. The model-free fuzzy controller is used for swing-up control. It is designed to guarantee that the energy of the acrobot increases with each swing, and the amplitude of the control torque decreases as the energy increases. This strategy ensures a soft switching of the




Figure 4. Simulation results.

control laws when the acrobot passes from the swing-up area into the attractive area. The model-based fuzzy controller is used for balance control and is designed by combining the Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model with the method of parallel distributed compensation. The stability of the fuzzy control system for balance control is guaranteed by a common symmetric positive matrix.

Simulation results have demonstrated the validity of the method.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Isidori, *Nonlinear Control Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [2] W. Kicker and H. Van Nauta Lemke, Application of a fuzzy controller in a warm water plant, *Automatica*, 12, 1976, 301-308.
- [3] M. A. Lee and M. A. Smith, Automatic design and tuning of a fuzzy system for controlling the acrobot using genetic algorithms, *Proc. the First International Joint Conference, North American Fuzzy Information Process Society*, 1994, 416-420.
- [4] Z.-X. Li and J. F. Canny, *Nonholonomic Motion Planning*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [5] E. Mamdani and S. Assilian, An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller, *Int. J. Man-Machine Studies*, 7, 1975, 1-13.
- [6] R. M. Murray, Z.-X. Li and S. S. Sastry, *A Mathematical Introduction to Robotic Manipulation*, CRC Press, London, 1994.
- [7] Y. Nesterov and A. Nemirovsky, *Interior-Point Polynomial Methods in Convex Programming*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [8] G. Oriolo and Y. Nakamura, Control of mechanical systems with second-order nonholonomic constraints: underactuated manipulators, *Proc. IEEE CDC*, 1991, 2398-2403.
- [9] J.-H. She, Y. Ohyama, K. Hirano and M. Inoue, Motion control of acrobot using time-state control form, *Proc. IASTED International Conference on Control and Applications*, 1998, 171-174.
- [10] M. W. Spong, Swing up control of the acrobot, *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 1994, 2356-2361.
- [11] M. W. Spong, The swing up control problem for the acrobot, *IEEE Trans. Control Systems* 15 (1), 1995, 49-55.
- [12] M. W. Spong, Energy based control of a class of underactuated mechanical systems, *Proc. IFAC 13th Triennial World Congress*, 1996, 431-435.
- [13] T. Takagi and M. Sugeno, Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control, *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernet* 15, 1985, 116-132.
- [14] K. Tanaka and M. Sano, A robust stabilization problem of fuzzy control systems and its application to backing up control of a truck-trailer, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 2, 1994, 119-134.
- [15] K. Tanaka and M. Sugeno, Stability analysis and design of fuzzy control systems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 45, 1992, 135-156.
- [16] W. Wang, K. Tanaka and M. Griffin, An approach to fuzzy control of nonlinear systems: stability and design issues, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems* 4(1), 1996, 14-27.